

The Polytropic Equation of State of Interstellar Gas Clouds

Marco Spaans¹

Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, 60 Garden Street MS 51, Cambridge, MA
02138

Joseph Silk

Astrophysics, Department of Physics, University of Oxford, Keble Road, Oxford OX1 3RH,
U.K.² and Departments of Astronomy and Physics, University of California, 601 Campbell
Hall, Berkeley, CA 94720

Received _____; accepted _____

¹Hubble Fellow

²current address

ABSTRACT

Models are presented for the polytropic equation of state of self-gravitating, quiescent interstellar gas clouds. A detailed analysis, including chemistry, thermal balance, and radiative transfer, is performed for the physical state of the gas as a function of density, metallicity, velocity field, and background radiation field. It is found that the stiffness of the equation of state strongly depends on all these physical parameters, and the adiabatic index varies between $\sim 0.2 - 1.4$. The implications for star formation, in particular at high redshift and in starburst galaxies, and the initial stellar mass function are discussed.

subject headings: ISM: clouds - ISM: molecular process - radiative transfer - cosmology:
theory - galaxies: starburst

1. Introduction

A fundamental problem in the theory of star formation is the physical structure of molecular gas clouds, such as dense cores and Bok globules, from which (low-mass) stars are formed. Early work on the thermal and chemical balance of interstellar clouds has been performed by de Jong, Dalgarno & Boland (1980) and Falgarone & Puget (1985). A framework for active regions such as Orion has been developed by Tielens & Hollenbach (1985). The above work has been extended and refined by many authors (see Hollenbach & Tielens 1999 for a review), driven to a large extent by recent advances in observational techniques.

Examples of such observational progress include the catalog of 248 optically selected Bok globules of Clemens & Barvainis (1988), the NH_3 survey of isolated cores in Taurus by Benson & Myers (1989, and references therein), the measurement of non-thermal line widths in regions surrounding ammonia cores (Fuller & Myers 1992, Goodman et al. 1998), and the use of spectroscopy of various atoms and molecules as temperature and density diagnostics of different types of interstellar clouds as summarized in van Dishoeck (1997).

Despite this wealth of observational data, the nature of their equation of state (EOS) remains a major theoretical problem that concerns the stability and collapse of these molecular clouds. The stiffness of the EOS can be largely responsible for the resulting density probability function of interstellar gas in the turbulent ISM (Scalo et al. (1998) and Passot & Vazquez-Semadeni 1998). In particular, the value of γ affects the densities that can be attained behind shocks (Vazquez-Semadeni, Passot & Pouquet 1996), the fraction of gas driven to high densities by turbulent interactions (c.f. Scalo et al. 1998), and the stability of clouds being overrun by shocks (e.g. Tohline, Bodenheimer & Christodoulou 1987; Foster & Boss 1996). In the present paper we concentrate on the effects of the EOS for the fragmentation of individual collapsing clouds. Before embarking on an analysis of

the EOS of interstellar gas clouds, say of the form $P = P(\rho, T_g, T_d, V, B, I, C)$ for pressure P , density ρ , gas temperatures T_g , dust temperature T_d , velocity V , magnetic field B , radiation intensity I , and chemical composition C , one should assess which of the possible physical quantities entering the EOS dominates in the parameter ranges under study.

The importance of ρ and T_g is self-explanatory. The presence of warm dust can play a role since it can heat the gas through gas-grain coupling and radiatively through optically thick molecular lines (Takahashi, Hollenbach & Silk 1983). The velocity field, even when it does not contribute to the turbulent pressure, strongly influences the optical depth of atomic and molecular cooling lines, and hence the thermal balance of the medium. The magnetic field plays an important role in the support of interstellar gas (McKee 1999). The presence of ultraviolet or other hard radiation sources strongly influences the chemical, thermal and ionization balance of the ambient medium, and hence the overall EOS. Finally, the chemical composition of the gas also depends on the metallicity since it is the abundance of atoms and molecules which influences the local cooling rate.

In the present work, a polytropic equation of state, $P = K\rho^\gamma$, is assumed to describe the physical state of the gas. The exponent γ is considered to be a function $\gamma(T_g, T_d, V, I, C)$. That is, the magnetic pressure is assumed to be not dominant in the support of the model cloud. The radiation field I , in addition to the radiation emitted by the dust grains, is assumed to be given by the cosmic ray ionization rate. In other words, the visual extinction of the model cloud is assumed to be sufficient to shield the gas from any ultraviolet (stellar) sources.

We note that γ is the logarithmic derivative of P with respect to ρ . That is, since $P \sim \rho T$ it follows that $\gamma = 1 + \frac{d \log T}{d \log \rho}$. Through implicit differentiation the above derivative is related to the logarithmic derivatives of the heating and cooling functions, which can be quite complex. It is this dependence of γ on the details of heating and cooling that is the

main topic of the present paper.

In the remainder of the paper, a useful guide is the density dependence of the heating and cooling rate. If the density dependence of the heating rate is steeper (shallower) than that of the cooling rate, then γ is larger (smaller) than unity. A similar result holds for the kinetic temperature. Here, the cosmic ray ionization rate is always linear in the density and independent of temperature, whereas gas-grain heating and cooling is quadratic in the density and proportional to $T_g^{1/2}(T_g - T_d)$. Optically thin, subthermally excited cooling lines have a quadratic density dependence which becomes linear in the thermalized (high density) limit and even effectively sub-linear when radiative trapping (optical depth effects) becomes important. Finally, collisional de-excitation rates are characterized by powers of the temperature on the order of $\sim 0.5 - 1.5$, whereas excitation rates are modified by an exponential factor which is of the order of unity for kinetic temperatures larger than the pertaining excitation temperatures.

In general, our results are applicable mostly to dense and/or well shielded molecular clouds/cores where the pressure appears to be close to thermal, with only a small additional contribution to the line-widths from turbulent motions, and the ionization fraction is small (Goodman et al. 1998, and references therein). That is, we assume that the clouds are outside the regime where the so-called Larson (1981) laws apply, which indicate an increase in the non-thermal line width with length scale.

One should note that polytropic models can also be extended into the regime where there is a significant contribution to the pressure from turbulence and magnetic fields. The polytropic temperature T should then be interpreted as representing the total velocity dispersion σ , i.e., $T \propto \sigma^2$ (Maloney 1988). A complete description of polytropic models, including composite ones for non-thermal pressure effects and bulk properties like mass, radius and density contrast, is presented in Curry & McKee (1999). The aim here is to

study the thermal regime and to investigate the specific effects of metallicity, radiative transfer and internal sources on the stiffness of the resulting local EOS.

2. Model Description

The results presented here were obtained by application of the numerical code of Spaans (1996), described in detail in Spaans & Norman (1997); Spaans & van Dishoeck (1997); and Spaans & Carollo (1998). The interested reader is referred to these papers for a description of the underlying algorithm. The code has been specifically designed to solve large chemical networks with a self-consistent treatment of the thermal balance for all heating and cooling processes known to be of importance, and to be computable with some sense of rigor, in the interstellar medium (Spaans & Ehrenfreund 1999). Care has to be taken in the treatment of line trapping for the atomic and molecular cooling lines which dominate the thermal balance. Collisional de-excitation and radiative trapping is most prominent in the density range $\sim 10^3 - 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ (Scalo et al. 1998). Our results were found to be in good agreement with those of Neufeld et al. (1995) for their, and our, adopted linear velocity gradient model (see further details below).

The adopted chemical network is based on the UMIST compilation (Millar, Farquhar & Willacy 1997) and is well suited for low temperature dense molecular clouds, with the latest rates for the important neutral-neutral reactions. The radiative transfer is solved by means of a Monte Carlo approach (Spaans & van Langevelde 1992) with checks provided by an escape probability method for large line optical depths. The thermal balance includes heating by cosmic rays, absorption of infrared photons by H_2O molecules and their subsequent collisional de-excitation, and gas-grain heating. The cooling includes the coupling between gas and dust grains (Hollenbach & McKee 1989), atomic lines of all metals, molecular lines, and all major isotopes, as described in Spaans & Norman (1997)

and Spaans et al. (1994), and also in Neufeld, Lepp & Melnick (1995) for the regime of very large line optical depth. All level populations are computed in statistical equilibrium at a relative accuracy, also imposed on the ambient line radiation field, of no less than 10^{-3} . The combined chemical and thermal balance is required to obey a convergence criterion between successive iterations of 0.5%.

For the low metallicity computations, the relative contributions to the total cooling by H_2 and HD can become significant. Since the value of γ depends on the logarithmic derivative of the cooling rate with respect to temperature and density, the end result could become quite sensitive to the (quantum mechanical) treatment of H- H_2 , H_2 - H_2 and He- H_2 collisional processes.

The main differences between calculations of H- H_2 and H_2 - H_2 collisions are due to different potentials (surfaces) and whether reactive collisions, i.e., the exchange of an H atom, are included (important above 1000 K). The shape of the potential surface is quite important for the lower temperatures, where rotational quantum effects dominate. This situation has improved greatly over recent years (c.f. Forrey et al. 1997). Nevertheless, we have restricted our calculations to $Z \geq 0.01 Z_\odot$. In this regime, there are other atomic and molecular coolants which still contribute, at the 30% level, diminishing the relative importance of the aforementioned sensitivities.

As an additional check, we have performed runs with the recent le Bourlot et al. (1999) H_2 rates. We have found no significant changes in γ for our adopted metallicities. Finally, for zero metallicity gas, and in the absence of HD cooling, we found (albeit tentatively given the above caveats) that γ is always less than unity. The inclusion of HD restricts this result to densities less than $\sim 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3}$.

3. The Initial Mass Function

Before discussing the results of our computations, we want to outline how the value of γ could be related to the process of star formation, i.e., how a large value of γ might suppress the formation of stars.

The polytropic equation of state of interstellar gas clouds may be relevant to determinations of stellar masses. Our starting point is to pose the question: is there a characteristic stellar mass? Observationally, the answer appears to be positive. The IMF, $dN/dM \propto m^{-1-x}$, for the number N of stars per unit of mass M , has $x > 1$ above $\sim 1M_{\odot}$. Below $\sim 0.3M_{\odot}$, virtually all data suggests a flattening to $-1 \lesssim x \lesssim 0$. The critical value that defines a characteristic mass is $x \approx 1$ for a logarithmic divergence in total mass toward decreasing mass, and there is no doubt that there is a transition from $x > 1$ to $x < 1$ in the range $0.2 - 1 M_{\odot}$. Hence there is a characteristic stellar mass. Whether this varies with environment is uncertain, but $m_{\text{char}} \approx 0.3 M_{\odot}$ fits most data (cf. Scalo 1998).

The status of theoretical discussions of a critical mass often centers on the thermal Jeans mass, $m_J \propto T^{3/2}\rho^{-1/2}$, generalized to include external pressure, $m_{J,P} \propto T^2P^{-1/2}$, turbulence $m_{J,t} \propto \Delta V^4P^{-1/2}$, or magnetic flux support $m_{J,B} \propto B^3\rho^{-1}$ (c.f. Elmegreen 1999, Larson 1998). The generalized Jeans scale most likely applies to the masses of atomic and molecular clouds or to self-gravitating clumps. However, the fact that in the interstellar medium, the Jeans mass in diffuse atomic or molecular clouds generally exceeds stellar masses by a large factor, apart from the very coldest clumps, argues that the physics of the IMF is more complicated than Jeans instability. The Jeans mass is a fragmentation scale according to linear theory, and three-dimensional simulations indeed find that clump masses are described by the Jeans scale. Nevertheless, simulations cannot currently resolve the scales of individual protostars on solar mass scales. Analytic arguments, on the contrary, of opacity-limited fragmentation find scales of $\sim 0.01 M_{\odot}$, or far less than the characteristic

stellar mass scale.

All of this seems to suggest that the Jeans mass is not very relevant to the IMF, notwithstanding the Elmegreen (1999) and Larson (1998) results. If it were, of course, the critical polytropic index for fragmentation to occur is $4/3$. This has the following significance: one can write $m_J \propto \rho^{(3/2)(\gamma-4/3)}$. As collapse occurs, smaller and smaller masses fragment, and one plausibly ends up with a powerlaw IMF only if $\gamma < 4/3$. This argument could apply to the clump mass function within molecular clouds. The physics of nonlinear fragmentation is complicated, and this is at least a necessary condition for a power law IMF to continue to very small mass scales (compared to the initial Jeans mass).

But what really determines stellar masses? Physical processes that must play a role in determining the characteristic stellar mass scale include clump coagulation (Allen & Bastien 1995), clump interactions (Klessen, Burkert & Bate 1998) and protostellar outflows (Nakano, Hasegawa & Norman 1995; Silk 1995) that halt accretion. One conjecture is that feedback from protostars plays a crucial role in limiting accretion. The latter depends crucially on the accretion rate to protostellar cores (various authors relate inflow to outflow rates on energetic grounds), which in turn depends sensitively on the turbulent velocity. The maximum embedded young stellar object luminosity correlates with NH_3 core line width ΔV (Myers & Fuller 1993) and clump mass (Kawamura et al. 1998) over a wide range. Myers & Fuller (1993) concluded that variations in the initial velocity dispersion from core to core is a key determinant of the stellar mass formed from such a core. Also, Fuller & Myers (1992) concluded that the physical basis of line width-size relations (the so-called Larson laws) is part of the initial conditions for star formation. The theoretical justification incorporates disk physics and, at least conceptually, magnetic fields (Adams & Fatuzzo 1996; Silk 1995). So if the above holds (theoretically and observationally, both aspects are relevant), then one might argue that the characteristic mass of a young stellar

object depends primarily on ΔV . The latter is determined by the kinetic temperature if the thermal line width dominates in a prestellar core. This situation holds for low mass star formation but less so for the high mass cores, where the nonthermal contribution to the line width (i.e. turbulent or magnetic) can be as large as 50-80% (c.f. Myers & Fuller 1993). In any case, $\gamma = 1$ appears the most natural critical value of interest.

If $\gamma = 1$ is indeed a critical value in this sense, there is the possibility that the primordial EOS with a stiffer polytropic index, $\gamma > 1$, leads to a peaked IMF, i.e. one biased toward massive stars, whereas current star formation, where the molecular gas is characterised by an EOS with $\gamma < 1$, results in runaway fragmentation and hence a power law IMF.

4. Results

The model results³ are obtained for self-gravitating, quiescent spherical clouds with total column densities per unit of velocity given by

$$N^{\text{SIS}}(\text{H}_2) = 5.1 \times 10^{19} n(\text{H}_2)^{1/2} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ per km s}^{-1}, \quad (1)$$

(the singular isothermal sphere value; Neufeld et al. 1995) to set the optical depth parameter for any species, total hydrogen densities $n_{\text{H}} = 10^2 - 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, metallicities $Z = 1 - 0.01 Z_{\odot}$ in solar units, and a possible homogeneous background infrared radiation (IR) field given by 100 K dust grains. The total visual extinction through the region containing the model cloud is fixed at $A_{\text{V}} = 100 \text{ mag}$, although this is only important for determining the dust emission optical depth in the IR background case. Models are also constructed for a constant velocity gradient field of $3 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ pc}^{-1}$, which is a factor of three larger than the

³Extensive tables can be obtained from MS.

value adopted in Goldsmith & Langer (1978), corresponding to an optical depth parameter of

$$N^{\text{VG}}(\text{H}_2) = 1.0 \times 10^{18} n(\text{H}_2) \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ per km s}^{-1}. \quad (2)$$

It has been shown by Neufeld & Kaufman (1993) that this choice violates the virial theorem in the limit of high density. Therefore, (1) is interpreted to apply to a (statistically) static cloud supported by thermal pressure, whereas (2) should be seen as representative of a dynamic medium in a state of infall or outflow. The cosmic ray ionization rate is taken equal to $\zeta_{\text{CR}} = 3 \times 10^{-17} \text{ s}^{-1}$.

4.1. Variations in γ : Competition between Heating and Cooling Processes

Figures 1 and 2 present results which do not include an IR background radiation field, e.g. little or no ongoing star formation, or a warm CMB. Figure 1 displays the results for a quiescent velocity field with a velocity dispersion of $\Delta V = 0.3 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ for H_2 . Figure 2 is for the corresponding case of a velocity gradient of $3 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ pc}^{-1}$. The value of γ becomes of the order of unity for low metallicities, $Z < 0.03Z_{\odot}$, and densities $n_{\text{H}} < 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3}$. The reason is that the cooling of the ambient medium becomes dominated by H_2 and HD (Norman & Spaans 1997), which emit optically thin line radiation. At low densities, the level populations are not thermalized and the cooling rate has a density dependence which is steeper than linear and typically quadratic, whereas the cosmic ray heating rate is linear in the density.

At higher densities gas-grain cooling becomes important. This has a quadratic density dependence, and the equation of state softens in the absence of any embedded sources (Scalo et al. 1998), but to a lesser extent due to the lower dust abundance, for small metallicities. Even though no computations were performed beyond densities of $\sim 3 \times 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, the gas-grain coupling at very large densities is so strong that the gas temperature

follows the grain temperature and the value of γ will increase again and attain a value of unity if embedded protostars are present and the ambient radiation field is not coupled to the local gas density (see below).

The high γ region between $\sim 10^3$ and $\sim 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ is the result of line trapping and collisional de-excitation. Line trapping causes the cooling rate to depend on the density with an effective power less than unity due to absorption and subsequent collisional de-excitation, while the cosmic ray heating rate is always linear in the density, independent of the kinetic temperature. It should be emphasized that the effect of radiative trapping is stronger for the quiescent velocity field, but also depends crucially on the specific implementation that we have chosen. A truly turbulent velocity field (Kegel, Piehler & Albrecht 1993), i.e. one with a finite correlation length, may lead to a quite different value of γ in the density range $\sim 10^3 - 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3}$.

4.2. High Redshift Star formation

As can be seen in Figure 3, the inclusion of an IR background leads to a much stiffer polytropic equation of state for high, $Z > 0.1Z_{\odot}$, metallicities. The reason is that the abundant presence of water leads to heating through absorption of IR radiation and subsequent collisional de-excitation (Takahashi et al. 1983) for densities between $\sim 3 \times 10^3$ and $\sim 3 \times 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3}$. Temperature effects play a role here in the determination of the line opacities, but this is essentially a heating term that is between linear and quadratic in the ambient density. Since this heating rate is roughly proportional to the abundance of H_2O , it is negligible for metallicities $Z < 0.1Z_{\odot}$. At very high densities, $n_{\text{H}} > 3 \times 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, the gas and dust become completely coupled, and γ approaches unity since the gas temperature will simply follow the dust temperature. This only follows provided that the strength of the IR radiation field is independent of the ambient density. This point will be re-addressed in the

next subsection on starburst galaxies.

Note also that γ decreases to unity from above for densities larger than $\sim 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ because of the high temperature of the FIR background. This causes the dust to heat the gas and results in a relatively stiff EOS, even for low metallicities where H_2 and HD dominate. This is because at these high densities the overall cooling rates are at their thermodynamic limit, roughly linear in the density, while the dust-gas heating rate goes like the square of the density. Note that for $T < 200 \text{ K}$, HD quickly becomes the dominant coolant of pristine gas. The lower equilibrium temperature of the gas facilitates the thermalization of the level populations. Finally, for densities larger than $3 \times 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ radiative trapping becomes important for HD, leading to a density dependence of its cooling rate of less than unity.

Even though no results are shown for intermediate (30-60 K) temperature dust backgrounds, γ first decreases to somewhat below unity around 10^5 cm^{-3} in these cases, if the metallicity is less than $0.1 Z_\odot$. It then approaches unity from below for the reasons given above. Finally, in the limit of a large velocity gradient, H_2O plays a minor role and its heating (or cooling) does not strongly influence the thermal balance of the gas (Takahashi et al. 1983).

Since an IR background is naturally present in the form of the CMB for redshifts larger than $z \sim 10 - 30$, one can speculate on the nature of very early star formation. That is, any dense shielded region which has been enriched by metals through the first supernova explosions, viewed here as the product of the very first population III stars, will become more stable in the presence of an intense CMB background. Conversely, metal-poor regions will retain a polytropic exponent of ≈ 1 . Therefore, high redshift star formation may exhibit the counter-intuitive property that metal enrichment *and* a warm CMB together “halt” the process of star formation for a metallicity $Z_c > 0.1 Z_\odot$, even though the gas is

intrinsically capable of cooling efficiently (Spaans & Norman 1997).

Once the CMB temperature drops below ~ 40 K, at $z \sim 15$, any dense, $n_{\text{H}} > 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, cloud of high or even modest metallicity rapidly develops a γ -value smaller than unity and is prone to collapse. In effect, although more detailed calculations are required to substantiate this, the CMB may play an integral part in determining the epoch of efficient early star formation. One also sees that the primordial IMF can change strongly as one goes from a $\gamma > 1$ to a $\gamma < 1$ EOS. In the former regime one expects only large density excursions to collapse, leading to an IMF biased toward massive stars.

4.3. Starburst Galaxies

A similar phenomenon can occur in luminous (IR) starburst galaxies in that warm, $\sim 50 - 200$ K, opaque dust hardens the polytropic equation of state of a nuclear starburst region. The system Arp 220 is a possible example, being optically thick at $100 \mu\text{m}$. From the results presented here, one concludes that such IR luminous opaque systems have an IMF which is quite shallow, favoring high mass star formation. This may in fact be desirable to maintain the required power of such galaxies through supernova explosions (c.f. Doane & Mathews 1993).

Note though that in these extreme systems there is a strong coupling between the ambient radiation field and the star formation rate R , and hence with the gas density. Typical Schmidt star formation laws adopt an observationally motivated value of $1 - 2$ for the dependence of R on gas density (Kennicutt 1998) in star-forming spiral galaxies, with a best fit value of $r = 1.4$. Since the resulting dust temperature depends on the $1/5$ power of the ultraviolet energy density (Tielens & Hollenbach 1985), the effective γ for $r = 2$ ($r = 1$) is 1.4 (1.2), consistent with the above results.

5. Discussion

The polytropic equation of state of interstellar gas clouds has been computed for a range of physical parameters, and its relation to the IMF, high redshift star formation, and starburst galaxies has been investigated. A much needed extension of the results presented here lies in MHD, and particularly turbulence. The magnetic and velocity fields are important for pressure support away from the most centrally condensed part of a molecular cloud core, and radiative transfer effects in a turbulent medium are non-trivial. More to the point, the polytropic EOS indices computed here, with their relevance to the IMF as discussed in Section 4, are to be applied to a medium with a given cloud density probability distribution, as investigated in Scalo et al. (1998). Such a cloud density probability distribution can only be derived from detailed hydrodynamic simulations which include magnetic pressure and Coriolis forces. Furthermore, γ can also affect, in a way still to be investigated, star formation and the underlying IMF in other scenarios like turbulence-induced condensation or shocked-cloud induced star formation. We would like to mention as well the work by Ogino, Tomisaka & Nakamura (1999) that shows that the mass accretion rate in their polytropic simulations of collapsing clouds depends a lot on whether gamma is taken as 0.8 or 1.2.

Extensions in terms of multiple polytropic models for the core and envelope of a molecular cloud, such as discussed in Curry & McKee (1999), are another avenue to explore for the bulk properties of interstellar clouds. Caveats in the approach presented here are grain mantle evaporation which can influence the abundance of H_2O and hence the heating rate in the presence of an IR background, uncertainties in the chemical reaction rates of neutral-neutral reactions at low temperatures, and details of the freeze-out onto grains of molecular species. We hope that through a synthesis of the approach adopted here and those of others mentioned above, one can address the question of cloud stability and

collapse in a more general (cosmological) framework.

We are grateful to the referee, John Scalo, for his constructive comments, which improved the presentation of this paper, and his emphasis on the computation of the zero metallicity case. Discussions with Ewine van Dishoeck and John Black on the collisional cross sections of H_2 are also gratefully acknowledged. MS is supported by NASA through grant HF-01101.01-97A, awarded by the Space Telescope Institute, which is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., for NASA under contract NAS 5-26555. J.S. has been supported in part by grants from NSF and NASA at UC Berkeley.

REFERENCES

- Adams, F.C. & Fatuzzo, M. 1996, ApJ, 464, 256
- Allen, E.J. & Bastien, P. 1995, ApJ, 452, 652
- Benson, P.J. & Myers, P.C. 1989, ApJS, 71, 89
- Clemens, D.P. & Barvainis, R. 1988, ApJS, 68, 257
- Curry, C.L. & McKee, C.F. 1999, astro-ph/9908071
- de Jong, T., Dalgarno, A. & Boland W. 1980, A&A, 91, 68
- Doane, J. & Mathews, W.G. 1993, ApJ, 419, 573
- Elmegreen, B.G. 1999, ApJ, 515, 323
- Falgarone, E. & Puget, J.-L. 1985, A&A, 142, 157
- Forrey, R.C., Balakrishnan, N., Dalgarno, A. & Lepp, S. 1997, ApJ, 489, 1000
- Foster, P.N. & Boss, A.P. 1996, ApJ, 468, 784
- Fuller, G.A. & Myers, P.C. 1992, ApJ, 384, 523
- Goldsmith, P.F. & Langer, W.D. 1978, ApJ, 222, 881
- Goodman, A.A., Barranco, J.A., Wilner, D.J. & Heyer, M.H. 1998, ApJ, 504, 223
- Hollenbach, D.A. & McKee, C.F. 1989, ApJ, 342, 306
- Hollenbach, D.A. & Tielens, A.G.G.M. 1999, Rev. Modern Phys., 71, 173
- Kawamura, A., Onishi, T., Yonekura, Y., Dobashi, K., Mizuno, A., Agawa, H. & Fukui, Y. 1998, ApJS, 117, 387

- Kegel, W.H., Piehler, G. & Albrecht, M.A. 1993, A&A, 270, 407
- Kennicutt, R.J., 1998, ApJ, 498, 541
- Klessen, R.S., Burkert, A. & Bate, M.R. 1998, ApJ, 501, L205
- Larson, R.B. 1998, MNRAS, 301, 569
- Larson, R.B. 1981, MNRAS, 194, 809
- le Bourlot, J., Pineau des Forêts, G. & Flower, D.R., 1999, MNRAS, 305, 802
- Maloney, P. 1988, ApJ, 334, 761
- McKee, C.F., 1999, astro-ph/9901370
- Millar, T.J., Farquhar, P.R.A. & Willacy, K., 1997, A&AS, 121, 139
- Myers, P.C. & Fuller G.A. 1993, ApJ, 402, 635
- Nakano, T., Hasegawa, T. & Norman, C.A. 1999, ApJ, 450, 183
- Neufeld, D.A. & Kaufman, M.J. 1993, ApJ, 418, 263
- Neufeld, D.A., Lepp, S. & Melnick, G.J. 1995, ApJS, 100, 132
- Norman, C.A. & Spaans, M. 1997, ApJ, 480, 145
- Ogino, S., Tomisaka, K. & Nakamura, F. 1999, PASJ, 51, 637
- Passot, T. & Vazquez-Semadeni, E. 1999, Phys. Rev. E, 58, 4501
- Scalo, J. 1998, in *The Stellar Initial Mass Function* (38th Herstmonceux Conference) ASP Conference Series, Vol. 142, 1998, ed. G. Gilmore and D. Howell.
- Scalo, J., Vázquez-Semadeni, E., Chappell, D. & Passot, T. 1998, ApJ, 504, 835

- Silk, J. 1995, ApJ, 438, L41
- Spaans, M. 1996, A&A, 307, 271
- Spaans, M. & Ehrenfreund, P. 1999, in “Laboratory Physics and Space Research”,
ASSL Vol. 236, eds. P. Ehrenfreund, K. Krafft, H. Kochan, and V. Pironello
(Kluwer:Dordrecht), p. 1
- Spaans, M. & Carollo, C.M. 1998, ApJ, 502, 640
- Spaans, M. & van Dishoeck, E.F. 1997, A&A, 323, 953
- Spaans, M. & Norman 1997, ApJ, 488, 27
- Spaans, M., Tielens, A.G.G.M., van Dishoeck, E.F. & Bakes, E.L.O., 1994, ApJ, 437, 270
- Spaans, M. & van Langevelde, H.J. 1992, MNRAS, 258, 159
- Takahashi, T., Hollenbach, D.J. & Silk, J. 1983, ApJ, 275, 145
- Tielens, A.G.G.M. & Hollenbach, D.A., 1985, ApJ, 291, 722
- Tohline, J.E., Bodenheimer, P.H. & Christodoulou D.M. 1987, ApJ, 322,787
- van Dishoeck, E.F., ed. “Molecules in Astrophysics: Probes and Processes”, 1997, IAU
Symp. 178, Kluwer
- Vazquez-Semadeni, E., Passot, T.& Pouquet, A. 1996, ApJ, 473, 881

Fig. 1.— Density and metallicity dependence of the polytropic index for model I, discussed in the text, for no IR background and a quiescent velocity field with $\Delta V = 0.3 \text{ km s}^{-1}$.

Fig. 2.— Density and metallicity dependence of the polytropic index for model II, discussed in the text, for no IR background and a spherically symmetric constant velocity gradient field of $3 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ pc}^{-1}$.

Fig. 3.— Density and metallicity dependence of the polytropic index for model I, discussed in the text, for a $T_d = 100 \text{ K}$ IR background from dust, $A_V = 100 \text{ mag}$, and a quiescent velocity field.





